

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,656	09/28/2001	Takashi Kise	35.C15844	3590	
5514	7590 12/28/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			MENBERU, BENIYAM		
NEW YORK.	ELLER PLAZA NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2626		

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/964,656	KISE, TAKASHI				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Beniyam Menberu	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comprocing time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evidence with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	, but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) ☐ wovided below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-28</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessan.</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a (1).			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant added limitations "assign to another of the plurality of image forming apparatuses a job assigned to the one of the plurality of image forming apparatuses, in the event that the information is stored by said memory unit even if the one of the plurality of image forming apparatuses is ready to accept a job" in claims 1, 11, 17, 23, 29, and 30 which raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

MARKWALLERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER